

**COURT NO. 1  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

22.

**MA 909/2024 in OA 1896/2021**

Col Dinesh Tanwar	.....	Applicant
Versus		
Union of India & Ors.	.....	Respondents
For Applicant	:	Mr. S S Pandey, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. K K Tyagi, Sr. CGSC

**CORAM**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE MS. RASIIKA CHAUBE, MEMBER (A)**

**ORDER**  
**17.11.2025**

After issuance of the PPO as indicated in the Order passed on the last date, on instructions, learned counsel for the applicant informs us that even though the PPO has been issued and the benefits have been granted to the applicant, but arrears have not been paid.

2. Today, learned counsel for the respondents produces before us a communication received by the AFT Legal Cell, Principal Bench, New Delhi from the office of Principal Controller of Defence Accounts(O), Pune dated 11.09.2025, wherein it is indicated that in the expenditure sanction granted on 14.05.2025 even though the arrears have been shown as Rs.4,04,517/- but on verification it is found that it is incorrect and the actual arrears to be paid to the applicant

are Rs.3,46,442/- and accordingly, the PCDA(O), Pune has sent a communication to the PCDA(P), Prayagraj to issue Corrigendum PPO and ensure payment of arrears of Rs.3,46,442/-. Attention of the representative from the office of PCDA(P) Prayagraj is drawn to the aforesaid fact and he is directed to ensure that as directed by the Pension Payment Authority at Pune, the arrears of the applicant are calculated and remitted to his bank account within a period of four weeks.

3. List the matter on 13.01.2026. A copy of this order be served on Mr. Gufran Ahmad, Sr. Auditor, PCDA(P), Prayagraj for necessary compliance.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]  
CHAIRPERSON

[RASIKA CHAUBE]  
MEMBER (A)

/vb/